



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/931,391	08/14/2001	Tim Wilkinson	TRAS-540	1583
75	90 05/04/2004	,	EXAMINER	
Mitchell P. Brook, Esq.			VU, KIEU D	
Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP 11988 El Camino Real, Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92130			2173	n
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	. (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St.

				_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/931,391	WILKINSON ET AL.	4			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kieu D Vu	2173				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum or period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this com the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 14 August 2001.					
· —		☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	, 						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are version is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Entre drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in about correction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National St	age			
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter since claims 1 and 7 claim "A client software program" per se and does not positively recite that the program is stored on a medium that can be read by a machine. As such, the claimed invention is not directed to a machine readable medium or a manufacturer article.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Evans et al ("Evans", Pub. No. US 2002/0054046 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Evans teaches a client software program for providing instructions to one or more processors for executing processes on an embedded device which is configured for establishing a network connection to at one other

Art Unit: 2173

computing device (section 0043), comprising: an application layer (section 0032); a programming environment configured to render areas of an user interface component from a shared delegation object (sections 0018 and section 0033); and an operating system layer (section 0031).

Regarding claim 2, Evans teaches the user interface component is logically separated into a non-overridable system part (unchanged code; section 0047) and an overridable user part (section 0048).

Regarding claim 3, Evans teaches the overridable user part comprises user interface component-specific data (code needed to render the control; section 0048) and the non-overridable system part comprises data used to factorize the way the user interface component is rendered (section 0047).

Regarding claim 4, Evans teaches the querying user interface component extensions from the shared delegation object (section 0047, lines 23-27).

Regarding claim 5, Evans teaches the user interface component extensions include border dimensions (section 0053).

Regarding claim 6, Evans teaches the user interface component extensions include background properties (lines 1-14 of section 0054).

Regarding claim 7, Evans teaches a client software program for providing instructions to one or more processors for executing processes on an embedded device which is configured for establishing a network connection to at one other computing device (section 0043), comprising: an application layer (section 0032); a programming environment configured to query user interface component

Art Unit: 2173

extensions from a shared delegation object (lines 23-27 of section 0047; section 0033); and an operating system layer (section 0031).

Regarding claim 8, Evans teaches the user interface component extensions include border dimensions (section 0053).

Regarding claim 9, Evans teaches the user interface component extensions include background properties (lines 1-14 of section 0054).

Regarding claim 10, Evans teaches the user interface component is logically separated into a non-overridable system part (unchanged code; section 0047) and an overridable user part (section 0048).

Regarding claim 11, Evans teaches the overridable user part comprises user interface component-specific data (code needed to render the control; section 0048) and the non-overridable system part comprises data used to factorize the way the user interface component is rendered (section 0047).

- 5. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach about rendering user interface components which relate to the claimed invention.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu whose telephone number is (703-605-1232). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM.

Art Unit: 2173

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703- 308-3116).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-872-9306

and / or:

(703)-746-5639 (use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

04/20/04

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100